HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 23 JUNE 1980RA

RE-REVISED 25 OCTOBER 1983

Re-mimeo
All Auditors
C/Ses
Academy Levels
Tech/Qual

CHECKING QUESTIONS ON GRADES PROCESSES

Refs:	HCOB 12 June 70	C/S Series 2 PROGRAMING OF CASES
	HCO PL 17 June 70RB Rev. 25.10.83	KSW Series 5R TECHNICAL DEGRADES
	HCOB 19 Apr. 72	KSW Series 8 C/S Series 77 "QUICKIE" DEFINED
	HCOB 3 Dec. 78	UNREADING FLOWS
	HCOB 27 May 70R Rev. 3.12.78	UNREADING QUESTIONS AND ITEMS
	HCOB 8 June 61	E-METER WATCHING
	HCOB 7 May 69 IV	THE FIVE GAEs
	HCOB 22 Apr. 80	ASSESSMENT DRILLS

(The original version of HCOB 23 June 80' incorrectly stated that an auditor was not to check the processes of a grade for read before running them. That HCOB was then canceled on 25 Feb. 82' and it remains canceled. The person who had originally approved – and even taken part in writing – this incorrect and illegally issued HCOB later sought to cover these actions by "discovering the error," attributing it to someone else, and "calling it to my attention." With this re-revision, all earlier text written by others has simply been removed and further HCOB references have been added to the list above.)

EACH GRADE PROCESS THAT IS RUN ON A METER MUST BE CHECKED FOR A READ BEFORE IT IS RUN, AND IF NOT READING, IT IS NOT RUN AT THAT TIME.

This rule applies to subjective grade processes. It does not apply to processes that are not run on a meter such as Objective Processes or assists (except for metered assist actions of a subjective nature).

Actually, a process that "doesn't read" stems from one of three sources: (a) the process is not charged; (b) the process is invalidated or suppressed; or (c) ruds are out in session.

Factually, PC interest also plays a part in this.

I think quickying came from (1) auditors trying to push past the existing or persistent F/Ns or (2) auditors with TRs so poor that the PC was not in session. Nearly all

grade processes and flows will read on PCs in that Grade Chart area unless the above two conditions are present.

One also doesn't make a big production of checking, as it distracts the PC. There is a system, one of many, one can use. One can say, "The next process is (state wording of the auditing question)" and see if it reads. This does not take more than a glance. If no read but, more likely, if it isn't charged, an F/N or smoothly null needle, one hardly pauses and one adds "but are you interested in it?" PC will consider it, and if not charged and PC in session, it will F/N or F/N more widely.

If charged, the PC would ordinarily put his attention on it, and you'd get a fall or just a stopped F/N followed by a fall on the interest part of the question.

It takes pretty smooth auditing to do this and not miss. So if in doubt, one can again check the question. But never hound or harass a PC about it. Inexpert checking questions for read can result in a harassed PC and drive him out of session, so this auditing action, like any other, requires smooth auditing.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:rw.iw.gm

Re-typeset and formatted
February 15th, 2023
by AOGP:jc