

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 23 SEPTEMBER 1979

(Also issued as HCO PL 23 Sep 79 same title.)

Re-mimeo
TR's Course
TR's Supervisors
Cramming
Officers Auditors
C/S'

CANCELLATION OF DESTRUCTIVE BTB's AND BPL's ON TR's

There are many valid issues on TRs, all of which remain in full force.

The following issues are hereby canceled for the reasons stated in this issue:

BTB	15 Aug 71R Rev. & Reiss. 3.7.74 as BTB	TR COURSE BUGS HANDLING
BTB	16 Aug 71R Rev. & Reiss. 31.7.74 as BTB	BREAKTHROUGH, TR COURSE
BTB	18 Aug 71R Rev. & Reiss. 24.8.74 as BTB	TR COURSE – HOW TO RUN
HCO PL	4 Nov 71 II (not by LRH)	ACADEMY PREREQUISITES
BTB	5 Nov 71R Rev. 24.4.78	TR COURSE DEBUG DRILL
HCO PL	6 Nov 71 III	INTERNSHIPS LINEUP, AUDITOR
HCOB	7 Apr 73RA Rev. 22.2.79	GRADIENTS IN TRs
HCOB	8 Dec 74	TR 0 – NOTES ON BLINKING
BTB	8 Mar 75 IV	CRAMMING SERIES 5RB; TRs IN
BTB	20 Sep 72 Reiss. 20.9.74 as BTB	TR TRAINING UNDER LRH
BTB	13 Mar 75R Rev. 30.4.75	TRs TRAINING BREAKTHROUGH
FDD 32	7 Jun 71 DIV IX INT	TRs THE HARD WAY

REASONS FOR CANCELLATION

The only source of technical data is LRH HCOB's, books and tapes.

The issues listed above have introduced false data, verbal data and technical alter-
is. See HCOB 23 Oct 75' *TECHNICAL QUERIES*, HCOB/HCO PL 9 Feb 79' - *HOW TO
DEFEAT VERBAL TECH*, HCOB/HCO PL 15 Feb 79' - *VERBAL TECH PENALTIES*.

The specific points of out-tech introduced by these canceled issues are given here, so that all will know what the specific out-tech is that is being canceled:

1. BTB (Board Technical Bulletin) 15 Aug 71'R, Revised & Reissued 3. 7. 74' as BTB - *TR's COURSE BUGS HANDLING*.

This BTB is canceled because it states that if the student reads on "overrun?" that he is passed on the TR. This has given rise to false passes and the idea that the TR student has a case on course. TR's are not processes, they are drills. The student passes the TR when he can do it competently.

2. BTB 16 Aug 71'R, Revised & Reissued 31.7.74' as BTB - *BREAKTHROUGH, TR's COURSE*.

This BTB is canceled because it introduces the idea of a "Major Stable Win," stressed that the 2 hour confront had been lifted and not to overrun a person on TR's. It also states "It may take minutes to hours to a hundred hours to achieve the major win."

Of course wins are gotten on doing TR's. But TR's are drilled until the student does the TR competently and passes. The idea that TR's could take "hundreds of hours" to get in is completely false. A competent Supervisor, using LRH tech and not omitting any of it, should be able to get students through the TR's Course in a couple weeks at the most.

3. BTB 18 Aug 71'R - Revised & Reissued 24.8.74' as BTB - *TR's COURSE – HOW TO RUN*.

This BTB gives the idea that wriggling around, moving, fidgeting, watering red eyes and blinking and swallowing are OK.

These manifestations show that a person is not confronting, is nervous, afraid, flinching, or in grief. Of course the coach never insists that the student mustn't blink, nor that he mustn't ever swallow. He coaches the student to do the TR's until he can do them comfortably and competently, at which point those manifestations of non-confront are no longer present. A good auditor can be there comfortably and would never distract a pc.

4. HCO PL 4 Nov 71' II - *ACADEMY PREREQUISITE*.

This issue omitted mention of the Hard TRs Course as an Academy prerequisite, as a result of which the Hard TR's Course was dropped out of the training for auditors.

A Hard TRs Course is essential to the ability to audit at any level of auditing.

"Pat-a-cake" (meaning child's game) TR's were originally used in Div 6 for raw public to get them on a co-audit in London in the '50s and still might be of some small value for raw public that never intended to be auditors. But they sure won't pass or make a real auditor. In this era of permissive education, forget the permissiveness. The day we dropped out hard TR's, we entered an era of less case gain for PCs.

5. BTB 5 Nov 77' Rev. 24.4.78' - *TR's COURSE DEBUG DRILL*.

This issue stresses the same points covered in Number 1 and Number 2 above, and gave rise to false passes and quickly'ing.

6. HCO PL 6 Nov 71' III, *INTERNSHIPS LINEUP - AUDITOR INTERNSHIPS*.

This issue states that interns and auditors do daily TR's and gave rise to the false idea that one's TR's could go out overnight and you'd have to get them in again the next morning!

Once an auditor's TR's are in, they are IN. The way to get your TR's in is to do the TR's Course. This doesn't mean that you can't do TR's again; it usual to check an auditor's TR's in Cramming and handle any outnesses. But once TR's have been done fully and honestly, they are in and they stay in from there on out!

7. HCOB 7 Apr 77' RA, Rev. 22.2.79' *GRADIENTS IN TR's*.

The earlier system, the one I originally used was successful. The trouble was that others added in the idea "it takes a hundred hours," and actually thought it would take them months to get through a TR's Course and were sticking students in on one TR. Cycling through the TR's remedies that but one must ask what it is remedying? It's remedying a bunch of knuckle-headedness and invalidation in the first place!

Cycling through the TR's has been given a new definition and action. The student goes up through the TR's until he or she sticks, and then starts back at the beginning of the TR's. It is a technical fact, that when one cannot do a lower level TR, one is not likely to do an upper level TR. Get the student through the TR's the Hard Way, each one to a pass, one at a time. If the student hangs up or fails on a later TR, start him or her from the beginning of the TR's again.

8. HCOB 8 Dec 74' TR-0 – *NOTES ON BLINKING*.

This issue has been misinterpreted by some who figured that because the coach doesn't flunk the student for a blink, that it was then OK for the student to blink excessively in a distracting manner. This issue also points out that the person is a thetan and not a body, but that doesn't mean that it is OK for the student to writhe nervously in the chair and call that TR-0.

The coach does not flunk a person because he blinked, nor does he flunk the person because he breathed! But there's a big difference between someone who can't confront who blinks excessively and squirms around nervously and an auditor who can comfortably be there without flinching or being distractive in any way to the pre-clear which would be an Auditor's Code break. A good auditor is never distractive to a pc. And a person who can confront doesn't have excessive body motion of any kind, he can be there comfortably confronting.

"Blinkless TR-0" needs to be defined. It means that when a person's TR-0 is in he doesn't exhibit any manifestation of inability to confront including blinking nervously, flinchingly or doing anything else that shows a non-confront.

Automatic body functions don't have anything to do with TR's and are not taken up by the coach or Supervisor.

Nor do you do “pat-a-cake” TR’s and you never pass someone who makes reactive body motions. Get the student able to confront. Any good auditor or Scientologist takes this ability for granted.

Totally blinkless wide open staring-eyed TR-0 and TR-0 Bullbait are not a requirement for pass but any truly competent auditor can do it.

9. BTB 8 Mar 75’ IV. Cramming Series 5RB, *TR’s IN CRAMMING*.

This issue called for "Daily TR’s," the same error as is covered above in No. 6.

10. BTB 20 Sep. 72’ Reissued 20.09.74’ as BTB - *TR TRAINING UNDER LRH* and BTB - 13 Mar 75’R 30.04.75’ - *TR’s TRAINING BREAKTHROUGH*.

Both these issues introduced the false idea that an auditor should make a question sound like a statement when assessing. This is incorrect as a statement can be accusative or evaluative. This idea was a misinterpretation of the fact that an assessment should have impingement.

The correct way to do assessments is covered in HCOB 22 Jul 78’ *ASSESSMENT TR’s*.

11. FDD 32 DIV IX INT (7 JUNE 71’) *TR’s THE HARD WAY*.

This issue stated “It may take weeks to get through plain TR-0.” That false idea gave TR’s Course students and Supervisors the idea that a TR’s Course could take a long time and set everybody up for a loss.

Honestly, TR’s the Hard Way can be done fully, thoroughly and to a result of excellent TR's in a very short time. I can get somebody through TR’s in three days, and often have.

SUMMARY

False data on TR’s, and how “difficult” they are to do, were entered into the original tech. The tech then got dropped out of use and “permissive TR’s” crept in and then the “*TR’s Course the Hard Way*” got dropped out of the training of an auditor. There's no such thing as an *auditor* who can't do TR’s. Excellent TR’s are the hallmark of a good auditor. Scientologists are known for their TR’s. But an auditor can't get results without TR’s and a good auditor gets case gain on a PC with his TR’s and comm cycle alone.

All the tech on TR’s and TR’s training is available. Use it and make real professional auditors who get results on every pc, every time.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder